

(3)

CF3004

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition No. 3180/2003

PETITIONERS : 1. Yashwant Sahu,
S/o D.L. Sahu
Aged about 31 years,
Sarpanch, Village Panchayat Palod,
Vikas Khand Abhanpur,
Raipur (C.G.).
2. Anand Ram Sahu,
S/o Jhadu Ram,
Aged about 50 years,
Up-sarpanch, Village Panchayat Palod,
Vikas Khand Abhanpur,
Raipur (C.G.).
3. Neel Kanth Sahu,
S/o Madanlal,
Aged about 28 years,
Panch of Village Panchayat Palod,
Vikas Khand Abhanpur,
Raipur (C.G.).

RECEIVED COPY.
30.9.
CLERK TO A.G. BILASPUR

- Versus-

RESPONDENTS : 1. State of Chhattisgarh,
Through Secretary Department
Of Panchayat and Gramin Vikas,
Mantralaya, Shastri Chowk,
Raipur (C.G.)
2. Joint Director Panchayat & Samaj
Kalyan Vibhag, Mantralaya,
Raipur (C.G.)
3. Upper Collector,
Raipur (C.G.)
4. Sub Divisional Officer,
(Revenue), Raipur.
5. Ghanshyam Prasad Sahu,
S/o Sharda Prasad Sahu,
Aged about 38 years,
Ex-Panchayat Karmi of
Village Panchayat Palod,
Vikas Khand Abhanpur,
Raipur (C.G.).

P. R. No. 3026/03
Presented by Shri A.L. Nagar
dated 26.09.03



WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF A WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS, PROHIBITION, CERTIORARI ETC. OR ANY OTHER DIRECTION OR ORDER TO DO JUSTICE IN THE MATTER.

30

XI-HC-22

(20)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP 3180 सन् 2003

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

15.11.2003

Petitioners by Shri Prashant Jayaswal, Advocate with Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate.

State by Shri Ranbir Singh, Govt. Advocate.

Heard.

The petitioners have moved this petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for directing the respondents No. 1 to 4 to immediately conclude the enquiry in compliance of the show cause notice within a period of 30 days.

In order to invoke the jurisdiction under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India a petitioner has to show his legal right or fundamental right which has been infringed. The petitioners are Sarpanch, Up-Sarpanch and Panch of the village Panchayat and by this petition they wants that the enquiry instituted against the Respondent No.5 as a Panchayat Karmi should be directed to be expedited and sought for the relief that the Respondents No. 1 to 4 be directed to expedite the enquiry. I do not find that any legal or fundamental rights of the petitioners have been infringed, therefore,

(21)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP 3180 सन् 2005

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित - 2 -	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

there is no reason before this Court to exercise the extra ordinary jurisdiction under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India.

It has been mentioned in the petition that some financial irregularities have been committed by the Respondent No.5 for which the enquiry has already been instituted. If the petitioners so desire they can move to the criminal law into action. The petition is not maintainable which is liable to be dismissed and is dismissed.

Sd/-
L.C.BHADOO
Judge

Thakur/-

[पीछे देखिये